
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CPCAC)
PUBLIC MEETING
ACTION SUMMARY

Meeting Held Wednesday, February 6, 2013, 5:30 pm, at the Rosa Parks Transportation Center

Members Present

- Bruce Conque
- Alton Trahan
- Tad Sebastian
- Carrie Templeton
- Mary Jane Bauer
- Greg Manuel
- Gerd Wuestemann
- Mandi Mitchell
- Don Bertrand
- Hector LaSala
- Jerry Prejean

- Kevin Blanchard
- Tom Meyers
- Virginia Jones
- Stephanie Cornay Dugan
- Marilyn McDonald
- Kam Movassaghi
- Beau Bourque
- Jerrod Olivier
- Louis Perret
- Jeremiah Supple

Members Absent

- Monty Warren Resigned
- Mike Hefner
- Troy Bergeron
- Jared Bellard
- Margaret Trahan Resigned
- Dr. Joby John
- Mark Mouton
- Andre Mitchell
- Mitzi Moss Duhon
- Susannah Malbreaux
- Flo Meadows
- John Guilbeau
- Tim Supple

LCG

- Joey Durel
- Dee Stanley
- Tony Tramel
- Tom Carroll
- Patricia Leyendecker
- Eleanor Buoy
- Mike Hollier
- Brandon Shelvin
- Scotty Touchette LUS
- Brian Guidry LUS
- Eleanor Buoy
- Carlee Alm-LaBar
- Cathie Gilbert
- Cecilia Gayle
- Johnny Orgeron
- Melanie Bordelon

Public

- Rob Guidry - Chamber

Media

- Nick Persac
Advertiser
- Darren Robert
KLFY

1. Welcome – Chair, Kevin Blanchard

2. Action Summary

Bruce Conque made a motion to approve the action summary for the Oct. 22, 2012 meeting. Don Bertrand seconded the motion.

MOTION: Bruce Conque

SECOND: Don Bertrand

VOTE: 21-0-0-11, Yes: 21, No: 0, Abstain: 0, Absent 11

3. WRT Presentation:

- Overview of scenario development process/methodology – WRT explained the level of participation from CFS2 and how this information was used to synthesize three common themes.
- Introduction to scenarios concepts and indicators – The public will be asked to choose whole or parts of their favored scenario including the trend scenario (where we are going if nothing changes). There are three scenarios that encourage more of the future growth into targeted areas. The three scenarios are as follows:
 1. Nodal- this scenario looks at centers of mixed use higher density development in addition to downtown. These nodes are generally centered on major intersections.

2. **Balanced** – This scenario looks at more development north of downtown. This scenario includes more single family residential in targeted areas.
 3. **Corridor and Neighborhoods** – This scenario looks at more linear development on key arterial roads that would be mixed use and higher densities with transitional development to the residential neighborhoods. A focus would be to improve those roadways to accommodate multi modal transportation.
- **Introduction to CFS3 activities** – WRT took the committee through the sequence of stations that will be at the Community Forum Series 3.
 1. **Steps for the Open House - Where do you live?** map, CFS2 survey results, scenario maps, and a looping presentation of how we got to this point.
 2. **Understanding the scenarios** – Comparison between the current trend scenario with the vision statement, explanation of what scenarios are and how they were determined.
 3. **Comparing the scenarios** - Presentation of the current trend scenario, description of the three scenarios, indicators that describe each scenario and first and second choice voting.
 4. **Image preference** – Images of various development types will be voted on to supplement the scenarios.
 5. **The money game** – Fiscal information will be depicted through budget analyses and priority spending decisions.
 - **CPCAC role** – WRT explained that the committee members would be there with consultant/staff members to answer questions and assist people through the stations. Also, the member’s assistance with public outreach is invaluable.

Gerd Weustemann and Stephanie Cornay Dugan stressed the importance of culture. Gerd suggested in the indicators that a measure could be how many more people were near cultural institutions in each scenario. Silvia from WRT agreed and stressed that the scenarios deal with development patterns and that culture would be integrated in the details of the comp plan.

4. **Update on Public Outreach** – Chair, Kevin Blanchard, explained to the committee the reasoning behind the postponement of the end of February Community Forum Series 3. He explained that more of the budget for public outreach was front ended in the process and therefore WRT had to regroup and figure out a public outreach plan going forward.

Many committee members familiar with the consultant work emphasized that this was an issue between WRT and the subconsultant and that the client, ie. LCG, should never have even known about it. Many members reinforced their commitment to the outreach effort and Kevin asked for public outreach subcommittee volunteers. WRT was asked for a plan on how we were to move forward on public outreach for CFS 3 and 4.

5. New Business/Old Business – None

6. Public Comments – No public comment

To be approved by the CPCAC